This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/723,868	11/28/2000	Daniel Faneuf	FANEUF 00.02	6422	
7.	590 05/06/2002				
Norman P. Soloway Hayes, Soloway, Hennessey, Grossman & Hage, P.C. 175 Canal Street			EXAMINER		
			SMITH, KIMBERLY S		
Manchester, NI	H 03101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644		
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			J
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comme	09/723,868	FANEUF, DANIEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimberly S. Smith	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov r sheet wit	h th correspondenc address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, -' Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a report within the statutory minimum of thirty fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communical NDONED. (35.U.S.C. 8.133)	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	pril 2002 .		
157	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matte Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 16 Apr) disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	*		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in App	olication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			ation).
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has bee	n received.	,
Attachment(s)	,,	J .== William Or 1 == 1.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>.</u> ·
Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 04/16/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Regarding the applicant's argument that there is nothing in the Applicant's admission that would suggest a molded clip would be useful for holding fish or to add a rope to the clip, it is well known that a clip is any of various devices that grip, clasp or hook (*Merriam-Webster's Tenth Edition Dictionary*) and that a clip of any design, by it's nature, would be known to one having ordinary knowledge in the art to function for holding any material or item, inclusive of a fish. The Johnson (US 6,044,582) reference provides motivation to attach a clip to a rope for the purpose of culling fish. It is therefore considered that the rejections are based upon proper hindsight given the general knowledge and skill of an artisan in the art at the time the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/723,868 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

Drawings

2. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 04/16/02. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action
- 4. **Claims 1-20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson, US Patent 6,044,582 in view of Applicant's Admission, pages 3-4 and Figure 1 of current application (Admission).

Johnson discloses an apparatus for holding fish comprising a length of rope (12) having a loop formed at a first end (seen in figure 3), the loop securing the rope to a clip (32) wherein the clip is adapted for releasably holding a fish. However, Johnson does not positively disclose the clip design with the exception that the clip is held by the lower end portion loop of the rope and that it be adapted for releasably holding a fish. Admission discloses a clip having two or more opposing protrusions (136 and 138) moveable between a first position and a second position, the protrusions urged towards one another by a biasing member (116) to create a gripping force. While, it is not positively stated that the gripping force is capable of holding a fish, it is obvious that the gripping force would be sufficient to hold a fish as the weight of fish vary from several hundred pounds to a few ounces (to which the lightest force would be capable of holding).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the clipping device as taught by Admission as the clip device

Art Unit: 3644

disclosed by Johnson as a matter of design choice since the clipping device as taught by Admission includes a base part capable of being held within the lower end portion of the rope (area located beneath Biasing Member 116) and having a hook part adapted for releasably holding an item (i.e. a fish) as required by Johnson. As any clip is designed for the purpose of holding an item, a clip of any particular design has the same functional equivalency and it is therefore within the skill of an artisan to determine the most appropriate clip capable for use in any given situation.

Regarding claim 12, Johnson as modified discloses the rigid members being rotatable about a spacer (114 of admission).

Regarding claim 10, Johnson as modified discloses the protrusions being angled towards the biasing member (as seen in figure 1 of admission).

Regarding claim 11, Johnson as modified discloses the protrusion comprising a plurality of grooves (126 and 128 of admission).

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Johnson as modified discloses the clip being molded as one piece (page 3, line 3 of Admission).

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Johnson as modified discloses the clip as being molded of acetal resin (page 3, line 4 of Admission).

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Johnson as modified discloses the rope being a braided hollow polypropylene (column 1, line 45 of Johnson).

Regarding claims 5, 16 and 17, Johnson as modified discloses the loop being formed by inserting the first end of the rope inside the hollow rope a spaced distance from the first end wherein the loop couples the rope to the clip (see figure 3 of Johnson).

Art Unit: 3644

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Johnson as modified discloses the rope having a positive buoyancy in that braided hollow polypropylene is capable of being buoyant on water (see also column 2, lines 43-45 of Johnson).

Regarding claims 7 and 19, while Johnson as modified does not disclose the rope comprising a second end which is formed into a loop, it is well know that the addition of a loop in a length of rope assists in the holding of the rope (e.g., an animal leash) and is therefore considered to be within the ordinary skill of an artisan in the art to loop the second end of the rope to aid in the grasping of the rope.

Regarding claim 8, Johnson as modified discloses a marker for indicating the weight of an attached fish (column 1, lines 37-39 of Johnson).

Regarding claims 9 and 20, Johnson as modified discloses the rope being adapted to float on the surface of water.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3644

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly S. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-8515. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4196 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

CHARLES T. JORDAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Kimberly S. Smith Examiner Art Unit 3644

kss May 1, 2002